Phil 6014

Assignment 1 due Session #5, 2/15

This assignment consists of answering #1 and one other question of your choice. Since these are philosophical essays, attention should be paid to clarifying key concepts. Each question should be approximately 1½ - 2 pages.

1. What's the difference between weak and strong severity? (14, 23). You might wish to give an example of each from Excursion 1, or discuss key concepts such as: linked vs convergent arguments, arguing from coincidence, arguing from error.

Extra Credit for question #1: Choose one:

- (i) Although one could stop after weak severity, the severe tester accepts strong severity as well. Why?
- (ii) While an ultra-skeptic can always invent "rigged" hypotheses, how might this be criticized using weak severity alone?(108)
- 2. Explain the Likelihood Principle in contrast to Error statistical Principles. Why does Cox say that optional stopping violates the Weak Repeated Sampling principle?

What's your *current* intuition about this highly controversial issue? Why?

- 3. Explain the classic problem of justifying induction as discussed in Excursion 2 Tour I.
- 4. Bayesian confirmation(Bayesian epistemology). Discuss as you wish.
 - What is Bayes' theorem?
 - What is "confirmation" on the Bayesian account?
 - What is the problem of irrelevant conjunction (tacking paradox)?
 - What is the argument (Glymour) that if x confirms some hypothesis H, then x confirms any J?